How To Identify Winning Arguments

Learn how to quickly search for court decisions (orders) using the court documents target and other filters in Compass.

We'll be analyzing Judge Sleet's history with motions to stay pending inter partes review. Specifically, we'll look at answering these questions:

- Does Judge Sleet tend to grant or deny requests for stays?
- How does Judge Sleet **apply** the legal standards governing requests for stays? Does he tend to focus on certain factors?
- Which **arguments** have proven most successful in requesting or opposing a motion to stay?

To get started, open the **CUSTOM SEARCH** drawer at the top of the Search page.

We're focusing on decisions on motions to stay pending IPR, which means we'll be looking for **Documents**.

Tip: the same techniques outlined here can be applied to decisions on any type of motion by any judge, or uncoupled from an individual judge.

Since we're looking for a specific type of document, choose the **Type of Document** category by clicking the space under that option.

This will open a dialog box that allows you to select one or more of 400 different types of court documents.

Tip: You can click on the blue headers to view a description of each search filter.







There are two ways to select from the Type of Document tree:

- Search the tree by keyword (e.g. "motion to stay pending" or "stay pending").
- Click the magnifying glass icon or hit enter to see the matches.
- **Expand the nodes** of the tree to view document types in context (pleadings and related documents are at the top of the list, and trial related documents are near the end).
- Once you identify the right document type, click the box next to it.
- You can select multiple types (in this example we only pick one).
- When you've made all selections, click the **Save** button at the bottom of the tree.

Next, add a **JUDGE** filter to limit the search to decisions by Judge Sleet. Type in the judge's name and choose "Gregory M. Sleet" from the drop-down.

Then click **VIEW RESULTS** to run the search.







Now you have a new binder that is by default called "Documents Search" with a single tab also called "Documents Search."

- Rename the binder by clicking **FILE** then **Rename**.
- Rename the tab by right-clicking on the tab.

The main window shows the documents matching the filters we just selected.

FILE		O Doc	uments Search			
< HIDE	FILTERS OPTIONS VIEW		Documents Search		60	Results
NEW SEARCH TAB	КЛ КЭ Туре of Document	Result of	Case Name	Docume *	8	
Documents Search	Motion to Stay Pending Inter Partes R	Granted	The Trustees of Columbia University in the City of New York et	Jul. 23, 2018		2
New Folder	Motion to Stay Pending Inter Partes R	Granted	K2M, Inc. v. OrthoPediatrics Corp. et al	Jul. 10, 2018), E
	Motion to Stay Pending Inter Partes R	Granted	Boston Scientific Corporation et al v. Nevro Corp.	Jun. 15, 2018		<u>بر</u>
	Motion to Stay Pending Inter Partes R	Granted	Creative Spark, LLC v. The Clorox Company et al	Apr. 10, 2018		ير س
	Motion to Stay Pending Inter Partes R	Granted	IYM Technologies LLC v. Advanced Micro Devices, Inc.	Mar. 27, 2018		1
	Motion to Stay Pending Inter Partes R	Granted	Santen Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. et al v. Micro Labs Ltd. et al	Mar. 27, 2018		1
	Motion to Stay Pending Inter Partes R	Denied	Selective Signals, LLC v. Palo Alto Networks, Inc.	Feb. 22, 2018		<u>д</u>
	District Court Procedural Issues: Stay of The court denied the parties' stipulation review. The renewed Stipulation, if any,	Proceedings to stay pending de should contain a p	efendant's petition for inter partes review. "The parties may refile whe rovision requiring the parties to notify the court, in writing, of the reso	n and if the PTAB i lution of review an	institutes d all appr	eals."
	Motion to Stay Pending Inter Partes R	Granted	Spring Ventures Ltd. v. Google LLC	Jan. 18, 2018), III
	Motion to Stay Pending Inter Partes R	Denied with	Boston Scientific Corporation et al v. Nevro Corp.	Nov. 29, 2017	B	x

You can further refine the search results by clicking the **FILTERS** button. That opens the Filter Drawer where you can view or edit the currently active filters and select additional filters.

The **RELATED FILTERS** section provides helpful suggestions to further focus your search. It displays the "top" filter values (courts, judges, legal issues, etc.) that apply to your current search results.

In this example, we'll focus on contested motions by a party.

- Under Related Filters, expand the **Posture of Motion** filter.
- Select Motion by a Party.

There will now be a new active filter for **POSTURES OF MOTION** displayed at the top of the **FILTERS** drawer.

+ Ne

You can remove an active filter by clicking the **X** next to it, and you can edit all filters by clicking **EDIT FILTERS**.

To answer our first question ("Does Judge Sleet tend to grant or deny requests for stays?") we'll convert this search result to an analytics chart.

- Under OPTIONS, select OPEN
 ANALYTICS.
- Select MOTION SUCCESS.
- Click OPEN TABS.

FILE		Docum	ents Search					
< HIDE	Documents Filters < HIDE	FILTERS	OPTIONS \	/IEW	Documents Search		60	Result
NEW SEARCH TAB	Types of Document Motion to Stay Pending Inter Partes Review	≝я Тур	e of Document	Res	Case Name	Do*	8	
ments Search	Judges	Mot	ion to Stay Pendi	Gran	The Trustees of Columbia Universit	Jul. 2		بر 100
ew Folder	Gregory M. Sleet	Mot	ion to Stay Pendi	Gran	K2M, Inc. v. OrthoPediatrics Corp. et	Jul. 1		ير m
	EDIT FILTERS	Mot	ion to Stay Pendi	Gran	Boston Scientific Corporation et al v	Jun		ير 10
	Related Filters	Mot	ion to Stay Pendi	Gran	Creative Spark, LLC v. The Clorox C	Apr		ير ه
	Posture of Motion	Mot	ion to Stay Pendi	Gran	IYM Technologies LLC v. Advanced	Mar		ير ا
	Result of Order	Mot	ion to Stay Pendi	Gran	Santen Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. et a	Mar		ير m
	Legal Issue Courte	Mot	ion to Stay Pendi	Deni	Selective Signals, LLC v. Palo Alto N	Feb		ير m
	 Course Document Filing Date Case Filing Date Case Status 	Distr The "The cont all a	rict Court Procedur court denied the p parties may refile ain a provision req ppeals.*	al Issues: S arties' stipu when and i uiring the p	tay of Proceedings liation to stay pending defendant's petition f the PTAB institutes review. The renewed larties to notify the court, in writing, of the r	for <i>inter p</i> Stipulation resolution (artes revie , it any, sh of review a	ew. Yould and
	cuses was becanent type	Mot	ion to Stay Pendi	Gran	Spring Ventures Ltd. v. Google LLC	Jan		X

		• Do	ocuments Search					
< HIDE	Documents Filters	FILT	ERS OPTIONS	VIEW	Documents Search		60) Results
NEW SEARCH TAB	Judges Gregory M. Sleet	K N	Type of Document	Res	Case Name	Do 🔻	B	
ents Search	Types of Document		Motion to Stay Pend	li Gran	The Trustees of Columbia Universit	Jul. 2		^
/ Folder	Motion to Stay Pending Inter Partes Review		Motion to Stay Pend	li Gran		Jul. 1		4
	EDIT FILTERS		Motion to Stay Pend	li Gran	Boston Scientific Corporation et al v	Jun		<u></u>
	Polated Filters		Motion to Stay Pend	li Gran	Creative Spark, LLC v. The Clorox C	Apr		2
	▼ Posture of Motion		Motion to Stay Pend	li Gran	IYM Technologies LLC v. Advanced	Mar		<u>بر</u> ۵
	Stipulated/Agreed (32)		Motion to Stay Pend	li Gran	Santen Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. et a	Mar		<u>بر</u>
	Motion by a Party (27)	۰	Motion to Stay Pend	li Deni	. Selective Signals, LLC v. Palo Alto N	Feb		<u>~</u>
	Result of Order Legal Issue Courts Decumport Filling Date		District Court Proce The court denied th "The parties may re contain a provision all appeals."	dural Issues: e parties' stip file when and requiring the	Stay of Proceedings pulation to stay pending detendant's petition d if the PTAB institutes review. The renewec parties to notify the court, in writing, of the	n for <i>inter p</i> I Stipulation resolution (artes revi 1, if any, s of review	iew. hould and
	Case Filing Date		Motion to Stay Pend	li Gran	Spring Ventures Ltd. v. Google LLC	Jan		<u>~</u>

Documents Filters	K HIDE FI	LTERS	OPTIONS	VIEW	Documents Search		27	' Results
Judges Gregory M. Sleet	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	л Тур	e of Documen	t Res	Case Name	Do▼	B	
Postures of Motion		Moti	ion to Stay Pen	di Gran	Boston Scientific Corporation et al v	Jun		^
Motion by a Party	S.	Moti	ion to Stay Pen	di Gran	Santen Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. et a	Mar		<mark>بر</mark>
Types of Document		Mot	ion to Stay Pen	di Deni	Boston Scientific Corporation et al v	Nov		<u>بر</u>
Motion to Stay Pending Inter Partes R	eview	Mot	ion to Stay Pen	di Gran	Blackbird Tech LLC d/b/a Blackbird	Oct		4
EDIT FIL	TERS	Mot	ion to Stay Pen	di Deni	Salix Pharmaceuticals, Inc. et al v. N	Jul. 3		<mark>بر</mark> ۵
Related Filters	•	Mot	ion to Stay Pen	di Deni	Koninklijke Philips NV et al v. ASUS	Mar		<mark>بر</mark> ۵
Result of Order Legal Issue Courts		Distr The inter revie	rict Court Proce court denied w partes review ew with respect	dural Issues: S ithout prejudic of six of elever to the patents	tay of Proceedings e defendants' motion to stay pending one patents-in-suit. "Because the USPTO has at issue, the court will deny the Motion."	defendant's not institute	s petition ed inter p	is for partes
 Document Filing Date Case Filing Date 	e	Moti	ion to Stay Pen	di Deni	freal Foods, LLC et al v. Hamilton B	Mar		بل ۳

< HIDE	FILTERS	OPTIONS VIEW	Documents Search		27	Results
then 400	Бл Туре	III Open Analytics	e Name	Documents Search 27 Rest See Name Do▼ ■ Determine Do▼ ■ Storn Scientific Corporation et al v Jun ■ Storn Scientific Corporation et al v Mar ■ Storn Scientific Corporation et al v Nov ■ ■ Storn Scientific Corporation et al v Nov ■ ■ Storn Scientific Corporation et al v Nov ■ ■ Storn Scientific Corporation et al v Nov ■ ■ Storn Scientific Corporation et al v Nov ■ ■ Storn Scientific Corporation et al v Nut Dut ■ ■ Storn Scientific Corporation et al v Nut Dut ■ ■ Storn Scientific Corporation et al v Oct ■ ■ ■ Storn Scientific Corporation et al v. ASUS Mar ■ ■ Storn Scientific Corporation to stay pending one defendant's petitions for patents-in-suit. "Because the USPTO has not instituted inter parterat it asse, the court will deny the Motion." ■		
er time, v other criteria	Motic		oston Scientific Corporation et al v	Jun	27 Results	
	Motic	Copy Tab Save Changes	anten Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. et a	Mar		<u>,</u>
OPEN TABS	Motic	Rename	oston Scientific Corporation et al v	Nov		<u>بر</u>
	Motic	Delete Tab	ackbird Tech LLC d/b/a Blackbird	Oct		<u>بر</u>
	Motic	Download Selected	alix Pharmaceuticals, Inc. et al v. N	Jul. 3		<u>بر</u> ۳
	Motic	Print Tab	oninklijke Philips NV et al v. ASUS	Mar		<u>لم</u>
	Distrie The c inter / review	t Court Procedural Issu ourt denied without pre partes review of six of e v with respect to the pa	es: Stay of Proceedings judice defendants' motion to stay pending one de leven patents-in-suit. "Because the USPTO has no tents at issue, the court will deny the Motion." proceeding of the start o	Oct Image: Constraint of the second se		
	HIDE than 400 r time, other criteria OPEN TABS	HIDE FILTERS than 400 r time, orher criteria OPEN TABS Motic OPEN TABS Motic One One	HIDE FILTERS OPTIONS VIEW III Open Analytics View Related Search Motic OPEN TABS Motic OPEN TABS Motic OPEN TABS Motic Delete Tab Motic Delete Tab Download Selected Export Tab to XLS Optin Tab to XLS District Court Procedural Issu The court denied without praces review with respect to the parties review with respect to the	FILTERS OPTIONS VIEW Documents Search In Open Analytics In Open Analyt	K HIDE FILTERS OPTIONS VIEW Documents Search than 400 thi Open Analytics e Name Do * than 400 View Related Searcnes e Name Do * other criteria Motic Copy Tab anten Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. et a Mar OPEN TABS Motic Rename poston Scientific Corporation et al v Jun OPEN TABS Motic Copy Tab anten Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. et a Mar OPEN TABS Motic Delete Tab ackbird Tech LLC d/b/a Blackbird Oct Motic Download Selected tix Pharmaceuticals, Inc. et al v. N Jul. 3 Motic Print Tab ninklijke Philips NV et al v. ASUS Mar District Court Procedural Issues: Stay of Proceedings The court denied without prejudice defendants' motion to stay pending one defendants' inter protes review of six of elvery naterts-in-suit Marc District Court Procedural Issues: Stay of Proceedings The court denied without prejudice defendants' motion to stay pending one defendants' inter protes review with respect to the paterts-in suit Marc Motion to Stay Pendie Denle freat Foods. LLC et al v. Hamilton B Marc.	K HIDE FILTERS OPTIONS VIEW Documents Search 27 f than 400 Capy Tab It is Open Analytics It is op

Notice there are now two tabs in the binder. The new **analytics tab** shows how Judge Sleet decided motions to stay pending IPR each year. The filters for this tab match the filters we selected in the initial search.

We can see that Judge Sleet has **denied 6** motions and **granted 14**, more than twice the number denied.

Now let's look at our second question: "How does Judge Sleet apply the legal standards governing requests for stays?"

- Return to the first tab.
- Click **OPTIONS**.
- Select COPY TAB.

This will create a duplicate of the current tab with the same filters. It is a great way to modify one aspect of a search without starting from scratch.

The new tab will be called "Documents Search (Copy)".

- In the new tab, open the **FILTERS** menu.
- Under Related Filters expand LEGAL ISSUES to see the legal concepts addressed in Judge Sleet's decisions on motions to stay.

III M

Ξ.

Judge Sleet's decisions tend to focus on the simplification of issues and prejudice factors more than likelihood of success. Selecting an issue to limit the search results to only those decisions.

- Click the **PLUS** icon to reveal attorney-written decision summaries.
- Click the **EXPAND** icon to view all decision summaries.
- To view Judge Sleet's actual decision click the PDF icon.
- To download PDFs in bulk: select multiple PDF icons and under OPTIONS choose DOWNLOAD SELECTED.



				O Do	cuments Search			
< HIDE	FILTERS	OPTIONS VIEW			Documents Search		60	Resul
F CONTENTS	≝я Тур	View Related Searches	R	esult of M	Case Name	Date 🔻		
irch	Mo	ic	. G	ranted	The Trustees of Columbia University in the City of New York et al v	Jul. 23, 2018		ж П
155	Мо	K Save Changes	v. G	ranted	K2M, Inc. v. OrthoPediatrics Corp. et al	Jul. 10, 2018		بل ا
	Mot	ic Rename	vi G	ranted	Boston Scientific Corporation et al v. Nevro Corp.	Jun. 15, 2018		ير ا
	Mot	Save To ic Delete Tab	vi G	ranted	Creative Spark, LLC v. The Clorox Company et al	Apr. 10, 2018		7
	Mot	ic Developed Selected	vi G	ranted	IYM Technologies LLC v. Advanced Micro Devices, Inc.	Mar. 27, 2018		1
	Mot	Export Tab to XLS	vi G	ranted	Santen Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. et al v. Micro Labs Ltd. et al	Mar. 27, 2018		1
	Mot	R Print Tab	vi D	enied	Selective Signals, LLC v. Palo Alto Networks, Inc.	Feb. 22, 2018		1
	Dist The rene	rict Court Procedural Issues: St court denied the parties' stipul wed Stipulation, if any, should	ay of Procee lation to stay contain a pri	dings pending defe ovision requiri	ndant's petition for inter portes review. "The parties may refile when and ng the parties to notify the court, in writing, of the resolution of review and	if the PTAB institute d all appeals."	es review.	The
	Mot	ion to Stay Pending Inter Parte	s Revi G	ranted	Spring Ventures Ltd. v. Google LLC	Jan. 18, 2018		بر ا
	Mot	ion to Stay Pending Inter Parte	s Revi D	enied with	Boston Scientific Corporation et al v. Nevro Corp.	Nov. 29, 2017		1
	Mot	ion to Stay Pending Inter Parte	s Rovi G	ranted	Blackbird Tech LLC d/b/a Blackbird Technologies v. Fitbit, Inc.	Oct. 27, 2017		1

LIDE		-							
HIDE	Documents Filters < HIDE	FIL1	TERS	OPTIONS	VIEW	Documents Search (Copy	()	60	Results
TABLE OF CONTENTS	Types of Document Motion to Stay Pending Inter Partes Review	K7	Type	of Document	Res	Case Name	Da ▼	B	
ments Search	Judges		Motio	n to Stay Pend	i Grant	The Trustees of Columbia University	Jul. 2		<u>, k</u>
lotion Success	Gregory M. Sleet		Motio	n to Stay Pend	i Grant	K2M, Inc. v. OrthoPediatrics Corp. et al	Jul. 1		4
iments Search (Copy)	EDIT FILTERS		Motio	n to Stay Pend	i Grant	Boston Scientific Corporation et al v	Jun. 1		4
lew Folder	Related Filters		Motio	n to Stay Pend	i Grant	Creative Spark, LLC v. The Clorox Co	Apr. 1		4
	Posture of Motion		Motio	n to Stay Pend	i Grant	IYM Technologies LLC v. Advanced	Mar		2
	Result of Order		Motio	n to Stay Pend	i Grant	Santen Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. et al	Mar		4
	 Legal Issue Simplification of Issues (16) 	•	Motio	n to Stay Pend	i Deni	Selective Signals, LLC v. Palo Alto N	Feb		2
	 Prejudice From Stay/Tactical Advantage (15) Stage of Litigation (14) Stay of Proceedings (2) 		Distric The contain appear	et Court Proceed ourt denied the parties may ref in a provision r als."	dural Issues: S a parties' stipu ile when and equiring the p	tay of Proceedings Jation to stay pending defendant's petition if the PTAB institutes review. The renewed Parties to notify the court, in writing, of the r	for <i>inter par</i> Stipulation, i esolution of	rtes reviev if any, sho review an	v. iuld id all
	Likelihood Of Success (Stay) (1)		Motio	n to Stay Pend	i Grant	Spring Ventures Ltd. v. Google LLC	Jan. 1		2
	Reduction of Litigation Burden (1)		Motio	n to Stay Pend	i Deni	Boston Scientific Corporation et al v	Nov		2
	▶ Courts		Motio	n to Stay Pend	i Grant	Blackbird Tech LLC d/b/a Blackbird	Oct		R

FILE		• Doc	uments Search	ļ		
< HIDE	FILTERS OPTIONS VIEW		Documents Search (Copy)		60 F	Results
NEW SEARCH TAB	₩ T/pe of Document	Result of M	Case Name	Date 👻	8	
Documents Search	Motion to Stay Pending Inter Partes Re	Granted	The Trustees of Columbia University in the City of New York et	Jul. 23, 2018		<u>k</u> î
III Motion Success	Motion to Stay Pending Inter Partes Re	Granted	K2M, Inc. v. OrthoPediatrics Corp. et al	Jul. 10, 2018		2
Documents Search (Copy)	Motion to Stay Pending Inter Partes Re	Granted	Boston Scientific Corporation et al v. Nevro Corp.	Jun. 15, 2018		×
New Folder	Motion to Stay Pending Inter Partes Re	Granted	Creative Spark, LLC v. The Clorox Company et al	Apr. 10, 2018		2
	Motion to Stay Pending Inter Partes Re	Granted	IYM Technologies LLC v. Advanced Micro Devices, Inc.	Mar. 27, 2018		<u>_</u>
	Motion to Stay Pending Inter Partes Re	Granted	Santen Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. et al v. Micro Labs Ltd. et al	Mar. 27, 2018		4
	Ifotion to Stay Pending Inter Partes Re	Denied	Selective Signals, LLC v. Palo Alto Networks, Inc.	Feb. 22, 2018		4
	District Court Procedural Issues: Stay of The court denied the parties' stipulation review. The renewed Stipulation, if any, s	Proceedings to stay pending de should contain a pr	fendant's petition for <i>inter partes</i> review. "The parties may refile when ovision requiring the parties to notify the court, in writing, of the resolu-	and if the PTAB inst ution of review and	stitutes all appeals	s."
	Motion to Stay Pending Inter Partes Re	Granted	Spring Ventures Ltd. v. Google LLC	Jan. 18, 2018		2
	Motion to Stay Pending Inter Partes Re	Denied with	Boston Scientific Corporation et al v. Nevro Corp.	Nov. 29, 2017		2

To answer our third question ("Which arguments have proven most successful in requesting or opposing a motion to stay?") we'll head back to the original search tab and create another copy.

FILE FILE		Documents Search	
< HIDE	FILTERS OPTIONS VIEW	Documents Search	60 Results
NEW SEARCH TAB	Type	Result of M.,. Case Name	Date 🔻 🖹 🔲
ocuments Search	Monc	v Granted The Trustees of Columbia University in the City of New York et al v	Jul. 23, 2018 📄 🕌
Motion Success	Molic Save Changes	v Granted K2M, Inc. v. OrthoPediatrics Corp. et al	Jul. 10, 2018
New Folder	Motic Rename	vi Granted Boston Scientific Corporation et al v. Nevro Corp.	Jun. 15, 2018
	Save To Motic	vi Granted Creative Spark, LLC v. The Clorox Company et al	Apr. 10, 2018
	Motic	vi Granted IYM Technologies LLC v. Advanced Micro Devices, Inc.	Mar. 27, 2018
	Motic Export Tab to XLS	vi Granted Santen Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. et al v. Micro Labs Ltd. et al	Mar. 27, 2018
	Motic Print Tab	vi Denied Selective Signals, LLC v. Palo Alto Networks, Inc.	Feb. 22, 2018 📑 🎽
	Motion to Stav Pending Inter Parter	s Revi Granted Blackbird Tech LLC d/b/a Blackbird Technologies v. Fitbit. Inc.	Oct. 27. 2017
	Motion to Stav Pending Inter Parter	SRevi Granted Blackbird Tech LLC d/b/a Blackbird Technologies v. Fitbit. Inc.	Oct. 27. 2017 🖹 📡
FILE		Documents Search	
THE	Documents Filters	K HIDE FILTERS OPTIONS VIEW Documents Search (C	opy) 2 60 Results
TABLE OF CONTENTS	Types of Document	or Boying Kar Type of Document Res Case Name	Da
cuments Search	hudges	Motion to Stay Pendi Grant The Trustees of Columbia Univers	ity Jul. 2 🖹 🕌
Motion Success	Gregory M. Sleet	Motion to Stay Pendi Grant K2M, Inc. v. OrthoPediatrics Corp.	et al Jul. 1 🖹 🅌
cuments Search (Copy)	EDIT	FILTERS Motion to Stay Pendi Grant Boston Scientific Corporation et a	I v Jun. 1 🖹 🎽
cuments Search (Copy) 2		Motion to Stay Pendi Grant Creative Spark, LLC v. The Clorox	Co Apr. 1 🖹 🎽
New Folder	Related Filters	Motion to Stay Pendi Grant IYM Technologies LLC v. Advance	ed Mar 🖹 🔍
	Posture of Motion		

on to Stay Pendi...

•

Grant... Santen Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. et al... Mar...

Motion to Stay Pendi... Deni... Selective Signals, LLC v. Palo Alto N...

Motion to Stay Pendi... Grant... Spring Ventures Ltd. v. Google LLC

ct Court Procedural Issues: Stay of Proc

Jan. 1... 📄

F.

1

Result of Order

Granted (49)

Denied (5)

Legal Issue

Courts

Denied without prejudice (3)

Denied in part granted in part (2)
Additional briefing ordered (1)

In our brand new tab:

- Open the **FILTERS** menu.
- Under RELATED FILTERS, expand RESULT OF ORDER.
- Select **DENIED**.

Reviewing our attorney-written decision summaries or the decisions themselves, will reveal the arguments, evidence and situations that prompted Judge Sleet to deny the motions.

Alternatively, when viewing the **MOTION SUCCESS** analytics chart, click a section of the chart to create a new tab with only those decisions.

For example, clicking the **2016 Granted** section of the chart will open a new search tab with granted orders filed in 2016.



Please send an email to contact@docketnavigator.com if you have any questions. We're always happy to help.

